Purpose: To investigate the clinical value of serum cancer antigen 19-9 (CA 19-9) as a tumor screening marker among healthy individuals.

Methods: CA 19-9 levels were measured in 1921 healthy individuals and were compared to reference values. Analysis based on gender was also carried out. Individuals who had higher CA 19-9 values were advised to undergo imaging examinations and start follow-up. The incidence rate of tumors in these individuals and their prognoses were monitored.

Results: High CA 19-9 levels were found in 30 (1.5%) individuals without tumor diagnosis at that time. The overall positive detection rate was 15.62 per 1000 population; the rate was higher in males than in females (9.29 and 32.56 per 1000 population, respectively; p<0.01). Tumors were diagnosed in 7 (0.36%) cases (6 men and 1 woman); 6 of 30 individuals had CA 19-9 levels that were 5-fold higher than the highest reference value, without tumor specificity.

Conclusion: CA 19-9 has a low positive rate and is non-specific; routine screening is not recommended for healthy individuals.
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Introduction

Early tumor diagnosis and treatment have become more important in our country because of the increasing cancer incidence, especially for digestive system neoplasms [1]. Serum tumor markers are convenient, non-invasive, acceptable to patients, and play an important role as an ancillary tumor diagnostic tool [2,3]. Common tumor markers include carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), prostate-specific antigen (PSA), and cancer antigen 125 (CA 125), which are associated with hollow organ tumors [4], liver cancer [5], prostate cancer [6], and ovarian cancer [7], respectively. These, combined with other tumor markers could increase the tumor detection rate [8].

Aberrant glycosylation occurs on the cell membrane during tumorigenesis resulting in the production of glucose by-products. Tumor markers can be used as an ancillary cancer screening test and to monitor treatment and evaluate tumor recurrence [9]. CA 19-9 is composed of glycosido-proteins and sialylated lacto-N-fucopentose-α [10] and is mainly found in fetal intestinal, gastric, and pancreatic epithelial cells. Serum CA 19-9 levels are low in > 95% of healthy individuals (< 37×10^3 U/mL) but increase in patients with pancreatic [11], liver [12], gastric [13], gallbladder [14], and lung [15] cancers. Nearly all patients who have high CA 19-9 levels (> 1000×10^3 U/mL) have tumor metastasis [16]. The sensitivity of this tumor marker varies with the stage of the tumor [17].

CA 19-9 has become a common tumor marker, specific for digestive system tumors. To evaluate the cost benefits and prognostic ability of CA 19-9, levels were evaluated in healthy individuals recruited over a period of 3 years in our hospital.
Methods

Participants

Participants were recruited in our hospital from January 2007 to December 2009. This study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and after approval from the Ethics Committee of Aerospace Center Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Methods and instruments

The serum CA 19-9 level was detected using a microsome luminescence method using an i2000 automatic immunoassay unit (Abbott, USA). Four microliters of venous blood were collected and serum was harvested after centrifugation. Serum CA 19-9 levels were measured based on the automatic immunoassay instructions.

Data collection and follow up

General data such as sex, age and disease history were collected using registration forms of physical examination. Individuals with high CA 19-9 levels underwent further examination, including routine fecal testing, thoracic and abdominal computed tomography (CT) scans, gastroscopy, and colonoscopy. These individuals had regular follow-up examinations.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 10.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill). The CA 19-9 positive rate and tumor detection rate between males and females were compared using $\chi^2$ test and Fisher’s exact test. Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

General data

There were 1921 healthy individuals that participated in the study (1399 men and 522 women); their mean age was 54±12 years. Data from individuals who were examined at our hospital within the previous 3 years were recorded (Table 1).

Results on the detection of serum CA 19-9 levels and follow up

In 2007, 5 of 236 individuals (2.12%) had elevated CA 19-9 levels, including 1 woman whose CA 19-9 level ranged from 69.38 to 2056.52 U/mL (Table 2). A 57-year-old man whose CA 19-9 level was 2056.52 U/mL underwent gastroscopy and biopsy confirmed a gastric signet ring cell carcinoma. A 54-year-old man with a CA 19-9 level of 265.42 U/mL underwent gastrointestinal endoscopy and chest CT examination, but no tumor was found. His CA 19-9 level had increased significantly 2 years later. During that period, he underwent repeated CT, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and positron emission tomography/CT (PET-CT) examinations that finally confirmed the presence of a cholangiocarcinoma. Surgery was performed but the tumor recurred after 1 year. An 82-year-old man, whose CA 19-9 and CEA levels were 118.59 U/mL and 441.56 μg/L, respectively, refused further examination and died after 6 months. Two other individuals with CA 19-9 levels of 69.38 U/mL and 80.30 U/mL did not show increase in CA19-9 levels or any tumor signs after 2 years.

In 2008, there were 492 individuals who had detectable CA 19-9 levels. Four individuals had been examined in 2007; another 5 individuals had higher CA 19-9 levels, including 4 women. CA 19-9 levels varied from 40.50 to 393.04 U/mL. A 66-year-old woman had the highest CA 19-9 level and imaging confirmed after radical resection of lung carcinoma. Among the other 4 individuals, the highest CA 19-9 level was 73.53 U/mL. During a 1-year follow-up, the serum CA 19-9 levels these 4 individuals were low and no tumor was detected.

In 2009, CA 19-9 was detected in 1193 individuals, including 2 who had been identified in the previous 2 years. Another 20 individuals had high CA 19-9 levels, including 12 women. CA 19-9 levels ranged from 38.18 to 399.85 U/mL. A 45-year-old woman had the highest CA 19-9 level and imaging confirmed an ovarian malignant teratoma. Recurrence of a cardia carcinoma after radical resection of the primary tumor was confirmed by gastroscopy in an 81-year-old man with a CA 19-9 level of 368.53 U/mL. Pancreatic cancer was confirmed by CT in a 75-year-old man with a CA 19-9 level of 186.43 U/mL and a cancer antigen 72-4 (CA 72-4) level > 300 U/mL. Surgery was performed but he died after 3 months. The highest CA 19-9 level among the remaining 17 cases was 81.40 U/mL; at 9 months, the level was low and there were no tumor signs in this individual with the highest CA 19-9 level.

Data summary

Over a 3-year period, the serum CA19-9 levels of 1921 healthy individuals were estimated. The
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CA 19-9 level of 30 individuals was positive (positive rate: 1.56%), including 13 men (13/1399; 0.93%) and 17 women (17/522; 3.26%). There was a significant difference between males and females (p<0.01). The overall tumor detection rate was 0.36% (7/1921) including 6/1399 men (0.43%) and 1/522 women (0.19%).

Discussion

In recent years, cancer incidence has increased in our country, with a postoperative survival rate of patients being significantly lower than in developed countries [1]. Effective detection methods are important to identify patients with early tumors and improve the therapeutic results and patient survival. Serum tumor marker measurements are convenient, non-invasive, and readily accepted by patients when used as an ancillary diagnostic tumor detection method [2,3]. Many types of tumor markers are currently available but there is no single marker that is ideal for screening and diagnosis.

Tumor markers are secreted by tumor cells into tissues or are produced by the host in response to changes in the body. These markers do not exist in healthy people except in embryos. The levels of tumor markers are higher in patients with tumors than in healthy people [2,3]. Tumor marker levels can be used to diagnose tumors, analyze the time course, guide therapy, monitor recurrence or metastasis, and provide prognosis.
Tumor markers have been used for more than 30 years and have become a routine tumor detection method [2,3]. CA 19-9 is usually elevated in many adenocarcinomas including malignant tumors of the digestive tract and pancreatic cancer. However, it is not tumor-specific.

The ideal tumor marker should have high specificity and sensitivity and the ideal tumor screening method should have high sensitivity but does not need high specificity [18]. Some studies have reported that significantly increased CA 19-9 levels had better specificity for tumor diagnosis; however, the sensitivity was only 34.5-44.9%, which is of limited value for tumor screening [19-21]. This study found an overall positive rate of 1.56% in healthy individuals who were screened for CA 19-9 and the rate was higher in men than in women. Other authors have found that uterine endometriosis is a common reason for increased CA 19-9 levels in addition to malignant chest tumors and benign bile duct diseases [22]. In this study uterine endometriosis might be a cause for high CA19-9 levels in women. The overall tumor detection rate was only 0.36% in this study, and participants whose CA 19-9 level was <100 U/mL were examined further and no evidence of tumor was found. However, participants whose CA 19-9 level was > 100 U/mL were found to have new or recurrent tumors. Importantly, most of the patients with recurrent or advanced malignant tumors were detected using CA 19-9 screening. Although some patients were subjected to surgery, tumor recurrence was unavoidable.

In summary, the CA 19-9 tumor marker has limited prognostic value and should not be part of routine examinations.
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